

Center for Advanced Infrastructure & Transportation Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

NJDOT Bureau of Research QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

| Project Title: | Seismic Analysis of Retaining Walls, Buried Structures, Embankments, and Integral Abutments | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| RFP NUMBER: | 2000-25 | NJDOT RESEARCH PROJECT MANAGER: | | | |
| | | Mr. Anthony Chmiel | | | |
| TASK ORDER NU | JMBER: 127 / 4-26995 | PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: | | | |
| | | Dr. Husam Najm | | | |
| Project Starting Date: 01/01/2003 | | Period Covered: 2 nd Quarter 2005 | | | |
| Original Project Ending Date: : 12/31/2003 | | | | | |
| Modified Completion Date: : 12/31/2004 | | | | | |

| Task | % of | % of Task | % of Task to | % of Total |
|--|-------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | Total | this quarter | date | Complete |
| Literature Review on Seismic Design of | | 0 | 100 | 10 |
| Abutments, Retaining Structures, Buried | | | | |
| Structures, and Embankments | | | | |
| 2. Provide Analysis, Design, and Detailing | | 0 | 100 | 20 |
| of Free Standing Abut and Retaining Walls | | | | |
| 3. Provide Analysis, Design, and Detailing of | 20 | 0 | 100 | 20 |
| Integral (Diaphragm) Abutments | | | | |
| 4. Provide Guide Specifications Manual to assist | 30 | 0 | 100 | 30 |
| Designers in Designing Free-Standing and | | | | |
| Integral Abutments, Embankments, Buried | | | | |
| Structures and Retaining Walls | | | | |
| 5. Prepare Progress reports | 10 | 0 | 100 | 10 |
| 6. Final Report and Technical Memos | 10 | 0.5 | 95 | 9.5 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL | 100% | 0.5 | 99.0 | 99.5 |

<u>Project Objectives:</u> 1) Perform comprehensive review of new seismic design guidelines proposed in NCHRP 12-49; 2) Provide guidelines for seismic design of seat types abutments, integral abutments, retaining walls, and buried structures; 3) Provide analysis, design, procedures of these structures with examples based on new provisions; and 4) provide specifications for the seismic design of these structures in NJ consistent with new LRFD general seismic design criteria

Project Abstract: Current LRFD provisions are based on seismic design criteria and detailing provisions that are at least 10 to 20 years old. These provisions are mostly based on the Division I-A Seismic Design of the AASHTO Standard Specifications (1996) and NEHRP (1997). NCHRP Project 12-49 was initiated to address the inadequate performance of highway bridges in recent earthquakes and the deficiencies in the current seismic code. NCHRP Project 12-49 is intended to develop comprehensive specifications for seismic design of bridges considering all aspects of the design process including: (1) design philosophy and performance criteria, (2) seismic loads and site effects, (3) analysis and modeling, (4) design requirements, and (5) detailing. These new specifications will be nationally applicable with provisions for all seismic zones. In the area of foundation design, the NCHRP 12-49 provisions are essentially an update of the existing AASHTO LRFD provisions, incorporating both current practice and recent research results including additional specific guidance on spring constants for spread footings, deep foundations, and integral abutments. Because of the several significant changes in the design criteria and approach provided in the new provisions, there are questions on how these new provisions will affect the design and performance of bridge in states nationwide as well as the retrofit of existing bridges. There are also questions on the impact of new provisions on the design of abutments and retaining walls. Hence, there was a need to evaluate the impact of the new seismic design provisions proposed in NCHRP Report 12-49 on the seismic design and detailing of bridges in New Jersey. Two examples will be designed based on the new NCHRP provisions. Soil factors will be

evaluated and compared to current data base of site-specific spectra, and guide specifications for seismic design of bridges and buried structures in NJ will be developed consistent with the new guidelines.

- 1. <u>Progress this quarter by task:</u> Draft Final Report was submitted to NJDOT. NJDOT reviewed the report and made several comments and asked that these comments be addressed. The comments were related to editing and the need to clarify the specs and guidelines for seismic design of embankments and retaining walls. Also there some comments on seismic design and detailing of integral abutments. The project PI made a presentation titled "Seismic Hazards and Performance Levels for Low to Moderate Seismic Zones" at the ASCE/SEI Congress, NYC, April 20-24, 2005.
- 2. <u>Proposed activities for next quarter by task:</u> Address NJDOT comments on the draft final report. Finalize Tech Brief for NJDOT Website.
- 3. <u>List of deliverables provided in this quarter by task (product date)</u>: Final Report. Draft of Tech Brief.
- 4. <u>Progress on Implementation and Training Activities</u>: A CAIT/NJDOT Workshop on seismic design of bridges is under consideration for September/October, 2005.
- 5. Problems/Proposed Solutions:

| Total Project Budget | \$173,017 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Modified Contract Amount: | |
| Total Project Expenditure to date | \$131,367 |
| % of Total Project Budget Expended | 76% |

^{*} These are approximate expended amounts for the project; these estimates are for reference only and should not be used for official accounting purposes. For a more accurate project accounting please review the quarterly invoice for this project.